What we do
Libra aggregates, normalizes and processes data to generate comprehensive audit-ready financial and operational information from the blockchain/DLT ecosystem.
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What We Do - Libra is a “System of Record”

Note: “A common misconception is that the blockchain itself is the ‘method’. In actuality, most crypto trades occur off the chain - exchanges transact within their marketplace environment and OTC trades often occur over the phone, via email or on various messaging platforms.” (Sarah Legenza – Libra)

Note: Exchanges often “net transactions” within the exchange so they do not always get on the blockchain right away.
Today’s Ecosystem: Customers/Potential Customers
Auditing Blockchains
What Does This Mean for Auditors?

....Is this the end of Audit?

........Am I going to be out of a job?
Auditing Blockchain/DLT Networks

Pros:
- Much higher level of control precision & formalization
- Security / Sustainability via distributed ledgers → no single point of failure
- Fully automated / integrated ecosystem secured by cryptography
- Consensus prevents collusion → instead of “4 eyes” - 8, 100, 1000 eyes!

Cons:
- Blockchain is new/suspect first implementation less than a decade ago
- Objectives, risks, and controls are different for single database processes
- Limited technical expertise / experience in audit and IT around blockchains
With Blockchain, auditing is just plain different

Assertions are much more robust

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUDIT ASSERTION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>POTENTIAL FOR DIRECT BENEFIT FROM DISTRIBUTED LEDGERS (INDICATIVE VIEW)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Completeness</td>
<td>All transactions are recorded in the financial statements</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Occurrence</td>
<td>The transactions in the financial statements actually happened</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Valuation</td>
<td>Items in the financial statements have been included at appropriate amounts</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Classification and understandability</td>
<td>Financial information is correctly categorised and disclosures are clearly communicated</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Accuracy</td>
<td>Data is recorded at the correct amounts, which are verifiable in source documents</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Rights and obligations</td>
<td>Correctly establishing right to use or dispose of assets as well as obligations to pay off liabilities</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Cut-off</td>
<td>Recording of transactions for the correct accounting period</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* More ✓ indicates greater potential for direct benefit. Excludes indirect benefit where DL might improve data quality in general terms which creates knock-on benefits

Source: Wall St. Blockchain Alliance
Summary of Learning from PoC

Reduces risk and activities

- Blockchain protocol code is open source and secured by the consensus mechanism - mostly self audited. Blockchain transaction controls include ubiquitous cross protocol controls which help address risks with smart contracts.
- No passwords, permission is by the consensus or all participants, no SOD, super users, etc..
- The blockchain is an immutable log
- All transactions & change management is controlled by the consensus mechanism
- The blockchain is an immutable archive
- Blockchain has no need of a data center
Blockchain Audit Framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol Accreditation</th>
<th>CM Monitoring</th>
<th>Transaction Assurance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Verify for participating nodes &amp; regulators the sound design of the protocol against industry standards &amp; best practice respected frameworks / standards (NIST, Cobit, ISO 27001, IIA, etc.) assuring key controls and are not missing.</td>
<td>Verify the sound design of consensus mechanism consistent with requirements of respective protocols and the baseline design approved by the participating nodes.</td>
<td>Assure the security, availability, immutability, processing integrity, confidentiality, validity, scalability, etc. of all transactions on the blockchain/DLT network.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verify via automated analytics that ubiquities, “best practice” protocol rules / controls are in place for any public or private blockchain.</td>
<td>Validate node rights / participation, quorum, voting participation, etc. to ensure the protocol required and user defined baseline consensus mechanism is operating effectively.</td>
<td>The Libra Audit Engine will provide assurance on ubiquitous controls related to any smart contract and will allow user configuration of additional controls as defined by the needs of the specific use case.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Sample Controls Inventory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Control</th>
<th>Analytic</th>
<th>Detail: Objectives / Risks / Assertions</th>
<th>Impact on Blockchain</th>
<th>Analytic - IF/Then</th>
<th>Alerting Workflow: (i.e. Failure = send closed loop escalating alert to the)</th>
<th>Framework Alignment (i.e. COSO, COBIT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protocol Control</td>
<td>Inactive nodes</td>
<td><strong>OBJECTIVE</strong>: Determine if nodes with no or little activity for a specified period of time should be reviewed or removed from the network to reduce risk of non-compliance. <strong>RISK</strong>: Inactivity may be an indicator of an operator who should not have or no longer have opportunity to compromise the system. <strong>ASSERTIONS</strong>: Occurrence / Rights &amp; Obligations</td>
<td>Inactivity on a PrivBC may be an indicator of a risky node that no longer belongs on the BC</td>
<td>If period of inactivity is reached (i.e. &quot;X&quot; days) Then send an alert, require permissioning / &quot;re-admission into the network&quot;</td>
<td>System Admin→CIO (after 1 week)→CEO (after 2 weeks)</td>
<td>NST 3.1.10, 3.1.11, COBIT 5.1 ITIL 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transaction Control</td>
<td>Run Limits</td>
<td><strong>OBJECTIVE</strong>: Determine if any program (i.e. SC) on a network ever exceeds its established &quot;Run Limit&quot; or &quot;gas&quot; consumption assuring that a program does not run too fast or too long indicating a risk of non-compliance. <strong>RISK</strong>: A program exceeding established &quot;Run Limits&quot; or &quot;gas&quot; consumption may indicate a compromise or fraud against the system. <strong>ASSERTIONS</strong>: Occurrence / Rights &amp; Obligations</td>
<td>The CVM’s instruction set is Turing complete. To prevent unbounded use of computational resources, the protocol allows networks to set a run limit that a program is not allowed to exceed. Each instruction consumes some of the limit as it runs, according to its run cost. Chain’s run limit mechanism is similar to Ethereum’s “gas,” except that there is no on-chain accounting for the execution cost of a transaction.</td>
<td>If a program’s run limit / gas is exceeded (i.e. &quot;X&quot; days) Then send alert regarding potential compromise of the program / network</td>
<td>System Admin→CIO (after 1 week)→CEO (after 2 weeks)</td>
<td>NST 3.1.10, 3.1.11, COBIT 5.1 ITIL 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consensus Control</td>
<td>Signing multiple blocks at the same height</td>
<td><strong>OBJECTIVE</strong>: Assure block signers do not sign multiple blocks at the same height resulting in potential frauds in the consensus. <strong>RISK</strong>: A block signer allowed to sign multiple blocks at the same height may be able to perpetrate or conceal a fraud in the consensus. <strong>ASSERTIONS</strong>: Occurrence / Rights &amp; Obligations</td>
<td>If a block signer signs multiple blocks at the same height, participants can use the inconsistent signatures to construct fraud proofs to warn other nodes or provide evidence for enforcement out-of-band.</td>
<td>If an authorized block signer (or any network participant) signs multiple blocks at the same height. Then send an alert of a potential compromise of the consensus / network</td>
<td>System Admin→CIO (after 1 week)→CEO (after 2 weeks)</td>
<td>NST 3.1.10, 3.1.11, COBIT 5.1 ITIL 7.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Controls Inventory Examples – Public/Permissioned BC’s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol Accreditation</th>
<th>CM Monitoring</th>
<th>Transaction Assurance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Assure transaction size does not exceed block size</td>
<td>• Assure the agreed number of dynamic voting nodes are present/vote to submit transactions to the network</td>
<td>• Assure compliance with Multisig transactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assure transaction min. are not exceeded</td>
<td>• Assure block signers sign only eligible blocks within the allowed signature timeframe</td>
<td>• Assure transactions with location stamps are not coming from sanctioned countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assure only permissioned nodes are on the network (no rogue or unregistered nodes)</td>
<td>• Assure voting nodes do not exceed permissioned nodes (i.e. double voting)</td>
<td>• Assure transaction volume does not exceed a quantity/value limits (manipulation, wash trading, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assure all dependent input transactions have a corresponding output transaction.</td>
<td>• Assure no “Frontrunning” by voting nodes by limiting transactions in which validators can participate.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assure all nodes are using current network version/</td>
<td>• Assure no inappropriate concentration of miners/voting nodes (via. Related nodes, Geography, Pools, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assure node count does not exceed allowed nodes</td>
<td>• Etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Specific protocol controls will apply to specific use cases and blockchains

Ubiquitous Smart Contract Controls:
• Assure no “reentrancy” by limiting smart contract execution
• Assure no “race conditions” by limiting multi smart contract execution where there is risk
• Assure “DOS GAS Limits” do not exceed established threshold by an node (identifying potential hacks early)
• Etc.
Audit & Operational Rules based engine runs on the same platform!

Easy user interface, requiring no special expert knowledge!
Operational Rules based engine runs on the same platform

Rules based engine allows clients to develop compliance checks throughout the investment lifecycle. If a rule is triggered, the Libra alerting system will notify the necessary parties (compliance officers, PMs, traders, etc.)

Sample Operational rules

- Portfolio checks - tracking index weightings, price floors/ceilings, etc.
- Monitoring exposures by reference data (market cap, sector, etc.) or tag
- Restricted stock lists
- REGULATION
- Etc..
What We Do - Libra is a “System of Record”

Note: “A common misconception is that the blockchain itself is the ‘method’. In actuality, most crypto trades occur off the chain - exchanges transact within their marketplace environment and OTC trades often occur over the phone, via email or on various messaging platforms.” (Sarah Legenza – Libra)

Note: Exchanges often “net transactions” within the exchange so they do not always get on the blockchain right away.
Crypto Pricing Project
Rutgers & Libra

Note: Token trading needs an accredited automated pricing methodology which mitigates manipulation and volatility
Key Agreement/Actions

• Agreed the IRS/Practice classification of crypto tokens as assets/property (subclass: “Indefinite-lived Intangibles”) is appropriate and that fair value guidance from ASC 350 and 820, IAS 36 and 38, IFRS 13, etc., while not providing specific guidance for crypto assets, support the established concepts of “Mark to Market” valuation for actively traded assets and “Mark to Model” for assets with low or erratic trading volumes.

• Appropriate threshold (i.e. measurable activity level) for “actively traded” token assets” – for Mark to Market valuations which can be calculated via analytics.

• Propose/define “Prime Exchange” for any actively traded crypto token.

• Define “Fixing Price” methodology for actively traded tokens – assure this mitigates manipulation and can be determined via an analytic.

• Propose an appropriate model for pricing low activity/illiquid crypto assets for “Mark to Model” Note: this may be an analysis based on “Ask & Bid and will need to be proofed with actual data.

Note: All of the above will be simulated/modelled with actual data.
Discussion / Questions: